2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(Stipulating Parties Listed on Signature Pages)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 07-5944 SC MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

Dell Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., Case No. 13-cv-02171-SC

Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al., Case No. 13-cv-1173-SC

Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., et al., Case No. 13-cv-2776-SC

Tech Data Corporation, et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., Case No. 13-cv-00157-SC STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR
DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR
OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE
DELL AMENDED COMPLAINT,
SHARP COMPLAINT, AND TECH
DATA AMENDED COMPLAINT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, the undersigned Defendants and Plaintiffs Dell Inc. and Dell Products L.P. ("Dell"), Sharp Electronics Corporation and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc. ("Sharp"), and Tech Data Corporation and Tech Data Product Management, Inc. ("Tech Data") (collectively, the "Stipulating Plaintiffs") have conferred by and through their counsel and, subject to the Court's approval, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, there is pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California a multidistrict consolidated proceeding comprised of actions brought on behalf of purported purchasers of cathode ray tubes ("CRT") and CRT products, captioned as In re: Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:07-cv-05944 SC (MDL No. 1917) (the "MDL Proceedings");

WHEREAS, on August 17, 2012, Defendants filed motions to dismiss and for judgment on the pleadings with respect to certain Direct Action Plaintiff complaints (the "Dispositive Motions") (MDL Dkt. Nos. 1316, 1317, 1319);

WHEREAS, on December 11, 2012, Tech Data filed a Summons and Complaint in the Middle District of Florida, Tech Data Corporation, et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd. et al., Case No. 8:12-cv-02795-JSM-MAP (the "Tech Data Action");

WHEREAS, on January 4, 2013, the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation transferred the Tech Data Complaint to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for consolidated pretrial proceedings and assigned it to the Honorable Samuel Conti (MDL Dkt. No. 1518);

WHEREAS, on February 13, 2013, Tech Data filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order Regarding the Complaint in the Tech Data Action (MDL Dkt. No. 1568) requesting the Court to enter an order authorizing the Defendants and Tech Data, once the Court has ruled on the Dispositive Motions, to set a reasonable deadline for Defendants' answers and/or a reasonable briefing schedule for Defendants' motions to dismiss Tech Data's Complaint;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, on February 14, 2013, Special Master Legge signed the Proposed Order pursuant to the Stipulation Regarding the Complaint in the Tech Data Action (MDL Dkt. 1570);

WHEREAS, on September 9, 2013, Tech Data filed its First Amended Complaint (MDL Dkt. No. 1911);

WHEREAS, on September 18, 2013, the Court entered an Order pursuant to the Stipulation Regarding the Complaint in the Tech Data Action;

WHEREAS, on February 17, 2013, Dell filed a Summons and Complaint in the Western District of Texas, Dell Inc., et al., v. Philips Electronics North America Corp., et al., Case No. 13-cv-00141 (the "Dell Action");

WHEREAS, on March 15, 2013, Sharp filed a Summons and Complaint in the Northern District of California, Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et. al., Case No. 13-cv-1173;

WHEREAS, on March 19, 2013, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation issued a conditional transfer order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, transferring the Dell Action to the Northern District of California to be consolidated with the MDL Proceedings (MDL Dkt. No. 1620);

WHEREAS, on March 26, 2013, this Court entered an Order finding that Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al. is related to the MDL Proceedings (MDL Dkt. No. 1608);

WHEREAS, on April 23, 2013, Sharp filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order Regarding the Complaint in the Sharp Action (MDL Dkt. 1649) requesting the Court to enter an order authorizing the Defendants and Sharp, once the Court has ruled on the Dispositive Motions, to set a reasonable deadline for Defendants' answers and/or a reasonable briefing schedule for Defendants' motions to dismiss Sharp's Complaint;

WHEREAS, on April 24, 2013, the Court entered an Order pursuant to the Stipulation Regarding the Complaint in the Sharp Action (MDL Dkt. No. 1652);

WHEREAS, on May 13, 2013, the Dell Action was transferred to the MDL;

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE DELL AMENDED COMPLAINT, SHARP COMPLAINT, AND TECH DATA AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 07-5944 SC; MDL No. 1917

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24 25

26 27

28

WHEREAS, on May 28, 2013, Dell filed its First Amended Complaint (MDL Dkt. No. 1726);

WHEREAS, on June 17, 2013, Dell filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order Regarding the First Amended Complaint in the Dell Action (MDL Dkt. No. 1739) requesting the Court to enter an order authorizing the Defendants and Dell, once the Court has ruled on the Dispositive Motions, to set a reasonable deadline for Defendants' answers and/or a reasonable briefing schedule for Defendants' motions to dismiss Dell's Complaint;

WHEREAS, on June 17, 2013, Sharp filed a Summons and Complaint in the Northern District of California, Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Koninkliike Philips Electronics N.V., et al., Case No. 13-cv-2776 (together collectively with Sharp's Complaint dated March 15, 2013, the "Sharp Action");

WHEREAS, on June 21, 2013, this Court entered an Order finding that Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., et al. is related to the MDL Proceedings (MDL Dkt. No. 1745);

WHEREAS, on June 28, 2013, Sharp filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order Regarding the Complaint in the Sharp Action (MDL Dkt. 1748) requesting the Court to enter an order authorizing the Defendants Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. and Philips Electronics North America Corporation and Sharp, once the Court has ruled on the Dispositive Motions, to set a reasonable deadline for defendants' answers and/or a reasonable briefing schedule for defendants' motions to dismiss Sharp's Complaint;

WHEREAS, on July 3, 2013, the Court entered an Order pursuant to the Stipulation Regarding the Complaint in the Sharp Action and Defendants Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. and Philips Electronics North America Corporation (MDL Dkt. No. 1762);

WHEREAS, on July 3, 2013, the Court entered an Order pursuant to the Stipulation Regarding the First Amended Complaint in the Dell Action (MDL Dkt. No. 1763);

WHEREAS, on August 21, 2013, the Court issued an Order Adopting in Part and Modifying in Part Special Master's Report and Recommendation on Defendants' Motion to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dismiss the Direct Action Plaintiffs' Complaints ("August 21, 2013 Order") (MDL Dkt. 1856) in which the Court ruled on the Dispositive Motions;

WHEREAS, among other issues, the August 21, 2013 Order granted the Dispositive Motions to the extent that they challenged certain Direct Action Plaintiffs' alleged right to proceed under the "cost-plus" and "co-conspirator" exceptions to Illinois Brick Co. v. Illinois, 431 U.S. 720 (1977) ("Illinois Brick"), and denied the Dispositive Motions to the extent that they challenged certain Direct Action Plaintiffs' right to proceed under the "ownership or control" exception to *Illinois Brick*; and

WHEREAS, the Defendants seek to dismiss the Stipulating Plaintiffs' Complaints relying upon the same *Illinois Brick* arguments they used in the Dispositive Motions; and

WHEREAS, the Stipulating Plaintiffs and the Defendants seek to resolve the Defendants' Illinois Brick arguments in a manner that conserves the resources of the Court while at the same time preserving any and all appeal rights of both the Stipulating Plaintiffs and the Defendants:

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED between counsel for the Stipulating Plaintiffs and counsel for the undersigned Defendants in the above-captioned actions, as follows:

- 1. The Defendants' answers to (or motions to dismiss) the Stipulating Plaintiffs' Complaints shall be due by October 7, 2013;
- 2. The Stipulating Plaintiffs' responses to any motions to dismiss shall be due by November 6, 2013;
- 3. The Defendants' reply briefs shall be due by November 20, 2013;
- 4. The Stipulating Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that the issues addressed by the Court's August 21, 2013 Order regarding the *Illinois Brick* exceptions apply to the Stipulating Plaintiffs' actions;
- 5. The Stipulating Plaintiffs' Complaints do not seek to proceed under the "costplus" and "co-conspirator" exceptions to *Illinois Brick*;

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE DELL AMENDED COMPLAINT, SHARP COMPLAINT, AND TECH DATA AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 07-5944 SC; MDL No. 1917

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

6.	The undersigned Defendants' request to dismiss the Stipulating Plaintiffs'
	Complaints as they pertain to the Stipulating Plaintiffs' right to proceed under
	the "ownership or control" exception to Illinois Brick is denied for the reasons
	set forth in the August 21, 2013 Order; and

7. By virtue of this Stipulation, the undersigned Defendants and the Stipulating Plaintiffs do not waive any of their appeal rights to the *Illinois Brick* issues addressed and resolved in this Stipulation.

Dated: October 1, 2013 Respectfully submitted,

WHITE & CASELLP

By: /s/ Lucius B. Lau
CHRISTOPHER M. CURRAN (pro hac vice)
ccurran@whitecase.com
LUCIUS B. LAU (pro hac vice)
alau@whitecase.com
DANA E. FOSTER (pro hac vice)
defoster@whitecase.com
WHITE & CASE LLP
701 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 626-3600 Facsimile: (202) 639-9355

Attorneys for Defendants Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America, Inc., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., Toshiba America Consumer Products, L.L.C., and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.

1 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 2 By: /s/ Jeffrey L. Kessler JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice) 3 JKessler@winston.com 4 A. PAUL VICTOR (pro hac vice) PVictor@winston.com 5 ALDO A. BADINI (SBN 257086) ABadini@winston.com 6 EVA W. COLE (pro hac vice) EWCole@winston.com 7 MOLLY M. DONOVAN 8 MMDonovan@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 9 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 10 Telephone: (212) 294-6700 Facsimile: (212) 294-4700 11 12 STEVEN A. REISS (pro hac vice) steven.reiss@weil.com 13 DAVID L. YOHAI (pro hac vice) david.yohai@weil.com 14 ADAM C. HEMLOCK (pro hac vice) 15 adam.hemlock@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 16 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153-0119 17 Telephone: (212) 310-8000 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007 18 19 Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 20 Panasonic Corporation of North America, MT Picture Display Co., Ltd. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

By: <u>/s/Eliot A. Adelson</u>
ELIOT A. ADELSON (SBN 205284)
JAMES MAXWELL COOPER (SBN 284054) **KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**555 California Street, 27th Floor
San Francisco, California 94104
Tel: (415) 439-1400

Facsimile: (415) 439-1500 E-mail: eadelson@kirkland.com E-mail: max.cooper@kirkland.com

JAMES H. MUTCHNIK, P.C. (pro hac vice) KATE WHEATON (pro hac vice) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 Tel: (312) 862-2000

Facsimile: (312) 862-2200

Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd. (n/k/a Japan Display East, Inc.), Hitachi Asia, Ltd., Hitachi America, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

By: /s/ Hojoon Hwang
HOJOON HWANG (SBN 184950)
Hojoon.Hwang@mto.com
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Floor
San Francisco, California 94105-2907

Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077

1	WILLIAM D. TEMKO (SBN 098858) William.Temko@mto.com
2	JONATHAN E. ALTMAN (SBN 170607)
3	<u>Jonathan.Altman@mto.com</u> BETHANY W. KRISTOVICH (SBN 241891)
4	Bethany.Kristovich@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
5	355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floor
6	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100
7	Facsimile: (213) 687-3702
8	Attorneys for Defendants LG Electronics, Inc.; LG, LG Electronics USA, Inc.; and LG Electronics
9	Taiwan Taipei Co., Ltd.
10	
11	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON
12	By: <u>/s/ Gary L. Halling</u> GARY L. HALLING (SBN 66087)
13	ghalling@sheppardmullin.com
14	JAMEŠ L. MČGINNIS (SBN 95788) jmcginnis@sheppardmullin.com
15	MICHAEL W. SCARBOROUGH (SBN 203524)
16	mscarborough@sheppardmullin.com SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON
17	Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor San Francisco, California 94111
18	Telephone: (415) 434-9100
19	Facsimile: (415) 434-3947
20	Attorneys for Defendants Samsung SDI America,
21	Inc.; Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.; Samsung SDI (Malaysia) SDN. BHD.; Samsung SDI Mexico S.A.
22	DE C.V.; Samsung SDI Brasil Ltda.; Shenzen
23	Samsung SDI Co., Ltd. and Tianjin Samsung SDI
24	Co., Ltd.
25	
26	
27	
28	

1 BAKER BOTTS LLP 2 By: /s/ Jon V. Swenson JON V. SWENSON (SBN 233054) 3 jon.swenson@bakerbotts.com 4 BAKER BOTTS LLP 1001 Page Mill Road 5 Building One, Suite 200 Palo Alto, CA 94304 6 Telephone: (650) 739-7500 Facsimile: (650) 739-7699 7 E-mail: jon.swenson@bakerbotts.com 8 JOHN M. TALADAY (pro hac vice) 9 john.taladay@bakerbotts.com JOSEPH OSTOYICH (pro hac vice) 10 joseph.ostoyich@bakerbotts.com ERIK T. KOONS (pro hac vice) 11 erik.koons@bakerbotts.com 12 CHARLES M. MALAISE (pro hac vice) charles.malaise@bakerbotts.com 13 **BAKER BOTTS LLP** 1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. 14 Washington, DC 20004-2400 15 Telephone: (202) 639-7700 Facsimile: (202) 639-7890 16 Attorneys for Defendants Koninklijke Philips N.V. and 17 Philips Electronics North America Corporation 18 19 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 20 By: /s/ Rachel S. Brass 21 RACHEL S. BRASS (SBN 219301) rbrass@gibsondunn.com 22 JOEL S. SANDERS (SBN 107234) jsanders@gibsondunn.com 23 Austin V. Schwing (SBN 211696) GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 24 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 25 San Francisco, California 94105 Tel: (415) 393-8200 26 Fax: (415) 393-8306 27 Attorneys for Defendant Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. and Chunghwa Picture Tubes (Malaysia) as to 28 the Tech Data Action only STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE DELL AMENDED COMPLAINT, SHARP COMPLAINT, AND TECH DATA AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 07-5944 SC; MDL No. 1917

ALSTON & BIRD

By: /s/ Debra D. Bernstein
DEBRA D. BERNSTEIN (pro hac vice)
debra.bernstein@alston.com
MICHAEL P. KENNY (pro hac vice)
mike.kenny@alston.com
RODNEY J. GANSKE (pro hac vice)
rod.ganske@alston.com
MATTHEW D. KENT (pro hac vice)
matthew.kent@alston.com
ELIZABETH JORDAN (pro hac vice)
elizabeth.jordan@alston.com
MELISSA WHITEHEAD (pro hac vice)
melissa.whitehead@alston.com
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
1201 West Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-03424
Tel: (404) 881-7000
Facsimile: (404) 881-7777

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Dell Inc. and Dell Products L.P.

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

By: /s/ Craig A. Benson
KENNETH A. GALLO (pro hac vice)
JOSEPH J. SIMONS (pro hac vice)
CRAIG A. BENSON (pro hac vice)
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &
GARRISON LLP
2001 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 223-7356
Facsimile: (202) 204-7356
Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com
Email: jsimons@paulweiss.com
Email: cbenson@paulweiss.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corporation and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE DELL AMENDED COMPLAINT, SHARP COMPLAINT, AND TECH DATA AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 07-5944 SC; MDL No. 1917

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

BILZIN SUMBERG BAENA PRICE & AXELROD LLP

By: /s/ Scott N. Wagner
ROBERT W. TURKEN
MITCHELL E. WIDOM
SCOTT N. WAGNER
BILZIN SUMBERG BAENA PRICE
& AXELROD LLP
1450 Brickell Ave., Suite 2300

Miami, Florida 33131-3456 Tel: (305) 374-7580 Facsimile: (305) 374-7593 E-mail: rturken@bilzin.com E-mail: mwidom@bilzin.com E-mail: swagner@bilzin.com

STUART H. SINGER BOIES, SCHILLER, & FLEXNER LLP

401 East Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 1200

Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301

Tel: (954) 356-0011 Facsimile: (954) 356-0022 E-mail: ssinger@bsfllp.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Tech Data Corporation and Tech Data Product Management, Inc.

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3)

I, Lucius B. Lau, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 1st day of October, 2013, at Washington, DC.

By: <u>/s/ Lucius B. Lau</u>
Lucius B. Lau

Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document1969 Filed10/01/13 Page14 of 15

1	
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	
4	Dated:
5	Hon. Samuel Conti United States District Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2526	
27	
28	
۷۵	CHINNEL ATTION AND INDODOCUES ONDER CERTIFIC CONTRACTOR OF THE CON
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE DELL AMENDED COMPLAINT,

White & Case LLP 701 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

SHARP COMPLAINT, AND TECH DATA AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 07-5944 SC; MDL No. 1917

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On October 1, 2013, I caused a copy of the "STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE DELL AMENDED COMPLAINT, SHARP COMPLAINT, AND TECH DATA AMENDED COMPLAINT" to be electronically filed via the Court's Electronic Case Filing System, which constitutes service in this action pursuant to the Court's order of September 29, 2008.

By: /s/ Lucius B. Lau
Lucius B. Lau (pro hac vice)